

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Held, that, while it would have been better to have used the word "reasonable" before "grounds," it was not affirmative error to modify the instruction by inserting the quoted words; it not appearing that defendant was prejudiced thereby.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Insurance, Cent. Dig. §§ 4221-4224; Dec. Dig. § 1064.* 6 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 103; 14 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 451.]

Error to Circuit Court, Wise County.

Action by M. D. Nidiffer against the North British & Mercantile Insurance Company. Judgment for plaintiff, and defendant brings error. Affirmed.

Bond & Bruce and Geo. W. St. Clair, for plaintiff in error. W. S. Cox and Morton & Parker, for defendant in error.

HOWARD et al. v. HOWARD et al.

Sept. 14, 1911.

[72 S. E. 133.]

1. Evidence (§ 63*)—Presumption of Sanity.—All men are presumed of sound mind; the burden being upon one asserting it to show the contrary.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Evidence, Cent. Dig. § 83; Dec. Dig. § 63.* 7 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 680.]

2. Deeds (§ 72*)—Undue Influence.—The undue influence sufficient to set aside a deed must destroy the grantor's free will in executing it.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Deeds, Cent. Dig. §§ 190-199; Dec. Dig. § 72.* 13 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 386; 14 id. 1038.]

3. Deeds (§ 196*)—Undue Influence—Burden of Proof.—The burden of showing such undue influence as will avoid a deed is upon the person asserting it.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Deeds, Cent. Dig. § '588; Dec. Dig. § 196.* 13 Va.-W. Va. Fnc. Dig. 394; 14 id. 1040.]

- 4. Deeds (§ 211*)—Undue Influence—Sufficiency of Evidence.— Evidence held not to show undue influence in the execution of a deed. [Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Deeds, Cent. Dig. § 641; Dec. Dig. § 211.* 13 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 391.]
- 5. Deeds (§ 211*)—Mental Capacity—Sufficiency of Evidence.—Evidence held not to show that a grantor was mentally unsound when he executed the deed.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Deeds, Cent. Dig., §§ 638-640; Dec. Dig. § 211.* 4 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 380.]

^{*}For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. Dig. & Am. Dig. Key No. Series & Rep'r Indexes.

6. Evidence (§ 568*)—Opinions—Mental Capacity—Weight.—The evidence of witnesses present when a deed was executed is more reliable in proving mental incapacity than the opinion of witnesses based on facts which may not result from mental unsoundness.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Evidence, Cent. Dig. § 2394; Dec. Dig. § 568.* 4 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 380.]

7. Deeds (§ 68*)—Capacity of Grantor—Old Age.—The law prescribes no age limit beyond which one is incapacitated from executing a valid deed.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Deeds, Cent. Dig. §§ 149-155; Dec. Dig. § 68.* 4 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 379; 7 id. 675.]

8. Wills (§ 47*)—Testamentary Capacity—Senile Failure of Memory.—Testamentary capacity is not destroyed by failure of memory incident to old age.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Wills, Cent. Dig. § 94; Dec. Dig. § 47.* 13 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 711.]

9. Deeds (§ 68*)—Capacity of Grantor.—A deed executed by a grantor of legally sound mind will not be set aside because the disposition of property made therein is unwise.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Deeds, Dec. Dig. § 68.* 13 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 391.]

10. The fact that the grantor had executed a will prior to the deed and inconsistent therewith, which was not destroyed, such will also bequeathing other property, does not affect the validity of the deed.

Appeal from Circuit Court, Russell County.

Suit by W. N. Howard and others against Joseph Howard and others to set aside a deed. From a decree for complainants, defendants appeal. Reversed, and bill dismissed.

H. A. Routh and J. C. Gent, for appellants. Finney & Wilson, for appellees.

WAMPLER v. HARRELL et al.

Sept. 14, 1911.

[72 S. E. 135.]

1. Deeds (§ 211*)—Undue Influence—Sufficiency of Evidence.— Evidence held not to show undue influence in the execution of a deed to the grantor's nephew.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Deeds, Cent. Dig. § 641; Dec. Dig. § 211.* 13 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 389.]

2. Judgment (§ 708*)—Conclusiveness—Persons Concluded.—In an

^{*}For other cases see same topic and section NUMBER in Dec. Dig. & Am. Dig. Key No. Series & Rep'r Indexes.